

## **Attachment 4, Planning Commission Comments A0800001 Eno Drive at West Point on the Eno**

**Meeting Date: December 9, 2008**

**Ms. Mitchell-Allen.** I voted for approval.

**Mr. Brine.** I voted to recommend approval. My personal hope is that this site can be preserved as park land, an action that would render this plan amendment case moot. If, however, this site cannot be preserved as park land, then I believe that low density residential is a better land use designation for it.

**Ms. Brown.** I voted approval.

**Ms. Giles.** This plan amendment is consistent with other actions to down-zone properties when transportation corridors are abandoned. I supported the staff recommendation.

**Ms. Jacobs.** I am opposed to this plan amendment because low density residential of 4/Du./acre) will not protect the environmental features of this site. According to the staff report this is a natural inventory site, contains rare species and is an important wildlife corridor. Therefore, the lowest density possible should be the land use. I recommend very low density residential 2 du/acre or less) land use for this site. The topography of this site slopes down to the Eno River. The impact of development on water quality is an issue and the least amount of development and land disturbance possible would be best, which again is why very low-density residential is most appropriate, not low density residential for this site. The current land use designation of low medium density residential is clearly inappropriate due to the nonexistence of Eno Drive.

**Mr. Martin.** Yes, best use of land and future development.

**Mr. Monds.** I voted to approve.

**Ms. Smith.** I am uneasy with this down-zoning. I voted against it. If negotiations fail, I hope there will be cluster development with perhaps a conservation subdivision being considered.

**Ms. Summers.** There was substantial public attendance and comment on this item in the Planning Commission meeting –all of which was in opposition.