

Date

4/26/2013

4/30/2013

5/2/2013

5/6/2013

5/10/2013

5/30/2013

5/31/2013

6/10/2013

6/11/2013

6/12/2013

6/13/2013

6/14/2013

6/17/2013

6/18/2013

6/19/2013

6/21/2013

6/23/2013

6/24/2013

6/26/2013

6/26/2013

Hunter complained about work at 716 Shepherd done in the past without a COA after a voicemail request for a call back to Miller; Miller emailed Hunter stating that she was researching work at 716 Shepherd, and if no approval was found then a retroactive COA would be required, however she advised that the criteria for rear decks is very flexible and the deck would likely gain approval, otherwise modifications would be required; Miller also stated that Miller emails Hunter informing that the 716 property owner has been sent a letter (this date by Swope) asking for proof of COA or retroactive COA application. Hunter replies in email to Miller asking to see letter and complains that he was told he had to go before HPC for approval of rear fence and slab for AC units, but next door can get administrative retroactive approval. COA

Miller emails Hunter with 716 letter attached and explains changes to process since 2001 to simplify and streamline for applicants, clarifies that rear fences and mechanical equipment are also now approved administratively just as rear decks are.

Weber (716 owner) emails pictures of rear deck work to Miller. Miller emails Weber that retroactive rear deck will approval will be administrative level and gives application info.

Weber submits administrative COA application to Planning (case COA1300063)

email complaint from Weber about work on 714 Shepherd sent to Miller

Lisa asks Swope to investigate; since Swope is out Smith asks Robertson to investigate; Robertson leaves his card as no one answers the door

Swope sends photos to Miller, Young, Smith and asks for further instruction; photos show new insulation board applied over second floor siding

Swope issues NOV

COA approval issued for 716 Shepherd (sent via email from Kramer to Weber)

Hunter emails Miller and CC stating he is not in violation as he received prior approval from HPC; Hunter submits request to appear at CC WS

Miller emails Hunter stating that prior COA expired in 2002 and asks for a description of the work in progress; Hunter replies in email stating that current work is a continuation of work previously approved, not new work, that he was unaware of the COA expiration date, and forwards a finding from the HPC?; Miller responds explaining expiration clause in ordinances and asks again for information on what work is being done.

Hunter emails Miller, CC, and administration stating he has signed up to speak at the CC WS to discuss the stop work order and Miller's "inappropriate conversation" on 4/26/13: he explains he obtained retroactive COA approval in 2001 for putting up vinyl siding, asks for copies of: ordinance at the time of that approval, 2001 COA, all COAs for property after that date, and date of hearing for 716 Shepherd case.

Medlin emails Hunter with ordinance attached explaining expiration again and asking for scope of work description and any additional information;

Hunter replies there was no building permit because none was required, references recordings of HPC meetings, and states that the work has at no point ceased for more than 6 months. Hunter send another email citing NOV and claims work is maintenance and does not require COA and states he will appear at 7/25 CC WS.

Hunter emails Medlin asking about 716 Shepherd not following district guidelines and claiming the building permit should be invalid because no COA was received in advance and asking why the preservation plan is not being implemented

Medlin emails Hunter informing him that the NOV is being rescinded based on Hunter's statement that work has not ceased on the property since the original approval, apologizing for any inconvenience, and noting that historic violations will be investigated differently in the future

Hunter emails Medlin, CC, and administration complaining about Robertson's card being left at the subject property and that he has been stopped from doing work for 8 months; Hunter asks for answer to "same question" (unspecified) and claims that retroactive approval does not make an invalid building permit valid and that the same standards that apply to his property should apply to his neighbor's. Mayor Bell emails asking for clarification. Medlin emails Hunter assuring that the NOV has been rescinded, explaining Robertson's initial attempt at contact prior to Swope's NOV, and that information on the 716 Shepherd case is forthcoming once a full investigation and case review is complete. Hunter emails Medlin regarding the status of the letter rescinding the NOV and other requested information. Medlin emails Hunter providing a scanned copy of the letter mailed on June 21st and indicating that the response on the other requested information would be forwarded once complete.