


The following is an executive review of traffic stop data for the first six months of 2017, which is compiled from the SBI-122 traffic stop reports. During this period, the department conducted 5,987 traffic stops, a 35.2 percent decline from the 9,236 stops for the same period in 2016. Of the drivers stopped in the first half of 2017, 3,459 were Black (58%), 2,342 drivers were White (39%) and 186 (3%) were from other race categories. Broken down by ethnicity, 638 (11%) were Hispanic.

While the percentage of black drivers stopped (58%) is higher than the estimated population of the City of Durham (41%), similar disparities were observed in other major cities in North Carolina¹.

The traffic stop data for each officer was reviewed. The number of stops ranged from a few stops a year to several hundred, depending on the officer's assignment. The lower the number of stops conducted by an officer, the more notable



the appearance of any racial disparity might be in regard to percentages, which are affected by the total number of stops made. When considering the Traffic Services Unit, which conducted 1,291 stops as a group, the breakdown is 48 percent Black and 49 percent White, which is much closer to the overall demographics of the City. Examining this group of officers is useful, because they conduct the most traffic stops of any unit in the department due to the nature of their job, and these stops are distributed geographically throughout Durham. In addition, the officers' numbers do not account for off-duty assignments, such as Bulls Eye and Southside patrols, which are in areas with high concentrations of minority residents. These extra-duty assignments would affect traffic stop percentages outside of their normal duty assignments.

The data was further analyzed for officers that stopped at least 25 vehicles and had a 75 percent or higher stop rate of minorities. That list consisted of 15 total officers. The commanders of those officers were tasked with a more thorough analysis of their individual traffic stops, including a random review of in-car camera video. All but 4 of those officers worked in Uniform Patrol for either District 1 or District 4, which have the highest minority populations² and the highest crime figures.

Based upon the data analyzed, there was no evidence of unexplainable disparities regarding traffic stops among the officers. Rather, officers are stopping vehicles consistent with the demographics and crime statistics of their assigned areas. Traffic stops are often not random in nature, but an effective law enforcement action to deal with crime, particularly in high crime areas.

¹ Based on 2010 census data. <http://www.census.gov/2010census/popmap/>. Data was not available for Winston-Salem at time of report.

² Based on 2010 census data. District 1 is 62 percent Black and 20 percent White by race, and 21 percent Hispanic by ethnicity. District 4 is 54 percent Black, 32 percent White and 10 percent Hispanic.


Consent Search Data

In October of 2014, it became the policy of the Durham Police Department that a consent form must be filled out for requests to search. A report is generated monthly that shows the number of consent searches stemming from traffic stops, which is then reconciled with the number of forms. While there are still some discrepancies, most are due to differences in report requirements. For example, a request to search a vehicle is not always initiated from a traffic stop, or the officer may not be able to obtain sufficient information when a request is denied to complete a form³. The following is the consent search information that came from our internal database, which is more detailed than the summarized data provided on the State’s web site⁴.


Table 1 – Consent Requests and Forms by Month

	Consent Requests			Forms On File		
	Granted	Denied	Total	Granted	Denied	Total
January	2	2	4	2	2	4
February	0	3	3	0	1	1
March	5	4	9	5	1	6
April	4	1	5	5	0	5
May	3	1	4	3	1	4
June	2	1	3	1	0	1
Total	16	12	28	16	5	21

Of the 5,987 traffic stops in the first half of 2017, there were 28 consent requests for a search (0.5%) made by officers, with 16 requests being granted and 12 denied. There are 21 total forms on file for the period, including 16 where consent was granted and 5 that were denied (Table 1).



Graph 1 – Types of Searches by Month



Graph 2 – Search Rate by Drivers Stopped

³ The number of forms will not always equal the number of consent searches stemming from traffic stops, and totals may actually be higher.

⁴ The NC Department of Justice web site is not a suitable source for this information, due to the way they structure their Type of Search by Basis of Search report. For each *Type of Search* (i.e. consent, probable cause), an officer can choose up to six (6) *Basis of Search* selections for a single traffic stop, giving the appearance of a greater number of consent searches than what actually occurred. Instead of 23 consent searches for the Department in the first half of 2017, there were actually just 16 traffic stops in which a consent search occurred.

Just 16 of the vehicles stopped (0.27%) resulted in a consent search (Graph 1), of which 12 drivers were Black, 4 were White, and 0 were Native American. After stops that involved multiple types of search (0) were eliminated, the rate for which a consent search occurred was 0.35 percent for Black motorists, 0.17 percent for White motorists and 0.16 percent for Hispanic motorists (Table 2)⁵. The rates for which only a probable cause search occurred (Graph 2) were significantly higher in some cases.

Table 2 – Count and Rate of Search by Drivers Stopped⁶

Type (all searches)	White	Black	Total by Race	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	Total by Ethnicity
Drivers Stopped	2,342	3,459	5,987	638	5,349	5,987
Drivers Searched (All)	42	184	229	18	211	229
Consent	4	12	16	1	15	16
Search Warrant	1	0	1	1	0	1
Probable Cause	29	144	175	12	163	175
Search Incident to Arrest	6	19	25	3	22	25
Protective Frisk	2	9	12	1	11	12
Multiple Search Types	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Searched (All)	1.79%	5.32%	3.82%	2.82%	3.94%	3.82%
Consent	0.17%	0.35%	0.27%	0.16%	0.28%	0.27%
Search Warrant	0.04%	0.00%	0.02%	0.16%	0.00%	0.02%
Probable Cause	1.24%	4.16%	2.92%	1.88%	3.05%	2.92%
Search Incident to Arrest	0.26%	0.55%	0.42%	0.47%	0.41%	0.42%
Protective Frisk	0.09%	0.26%	0.20%	0.16%	0.21%	0.20%
Multiple Search Types	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%


Search Results

There were 229 traffic stops during the period in which a search occurred, with 197 (35.37%) resulting in contraband being found. The rate was 18.75 percent for consent searches, and 42.86 percent for probable cause searches (Graph 3).

- Of the 16 traffic stops in which a consent search occurred, 3 (18.75%) resulted in contraband being found, including 25.00 percent for Black drivers, 0.00 percent for White drivers and 0.00 percent for Hispanic drivers.
- Of the 175 traffic stops in which only a probable cause search occurred, 75 (42.86%) resulted in contraband being found, including 40.28 percent for Black drivers, 55.17 percent for White drivers and 50.00 percent for Hispanic drivers.

⁵ Traffic stops with multiple types of search were eliminated as being non-unique.

⁶ Race categories of Native American, Asian and Other, representing only three (3) total searches, were eliminate for formatting reasons.



Graph 3 – Percent of Searches in which Contraband was Found

Veil of Darkness

In March 2016, RTI International published research⁷ on traffic stop data entitled Exploring racial disproportionality in traffic stops conducted by the Durham Police Department. The following excerpt on the study methodology can be found in the *Analytical Approach* section on page 1 of the report:

[To study the racial distribution of traffic stops in Durham, we used the “veil of darkness” (VOD) approach, which is based on the logic that police officers are less likely to be able to ascertain the race of a motorist after dark than they are during daylight (Grogger & Ridgeway, 2006). This method takes advantage of the fact that there is seasonal variation in the amount of daylight at certain times of the day. Using this method, the existence of racial disproportionality can be assessed by comparing the race of drivers stopped during daylight with the race of drivers stopped after dark during the intertwilight period.]

In addition to the aforementioned report, RTI developed The RTI-STAR Traffic Stop Analysis Tool⁸, allowing any law enforcement agency to automate the data processing and analysis of traffic stop data using this peer-reviewed, scientifically sound method to identify racial disproportionality. When the tool was applied to Durham’s data for the first six months of 2017, no evidence of racial disproportionality existed in any of the models⁹ based on statistical significance alone (Table 3). In addition, the difference in the stop risk for Black drivers in daylight and darkness was minimal.

Table 3 – RTI Statistical Traffic Analysis Report (STAR) – Black Drivers

Model	Original Number of Stop Records	Stops in Intertwilight Period (ITP ¹⁰)	Stop Risk in Daylight	Stop Risk in Darkness	Risk Ratio	p-value	Statistical Significance
All intertwilight stops	5,987	603	57.77%	60.78%	0.90	0.2291	None
All intertwilight stops (male only)	3,501	370	59.18%	59.57%	0.88	0.2518	None
All intertwilight stops (female only)	2,486	233	57.90%	64.15%	0.97	0.5271	None
Uniform Patrol (male only)	1,840	227	59.46%	63.99%	0.87	0.1545	None

⁷ Available at https://www.rti.org/sites/default/files/resources/VOD_Durham_FINAL.pdf.

⁸ Available at <http://www.rti.org/impact/rti-star-traffic-stop-analysis-tool>.

⁹ There was insufficient data to run the model against traffic stops made by the Traffic Services unit.

¹⁰ The Intertwilight Period (ITP) range was 5:29pm to 9:06pm.